INVESTIGATING DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE WORKLOAD FACTORS OF EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS

Dorottya Luca PESTI, Bence SZÁMEL, Géza SZABÓ

Budapest University of Technology and Economics Faculty of Transportation Engineering and Vehicle Engineering Department of Control for Transportation and Vehicle Systems





WHO IS THE EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING CONTROLLER?



WHO IS THE EXECUTIVE AND PLANNING CONTROLLER? II.



Mean tasks:

EC – communication with a/c pilots

PC – doing plans for solving conflicts between a/c

WORKSTATION OF AN ATCO



2018.10.12

SECTORIZATION

Main purpose of air traffic services: keeping the separation minima between a/c

- Based on ICAO Annexes
- Because of safety reasons (high speed, a/c characteristics, etc.)

ATCOs work in so-called sectors

- To keep their workload in an optimal interval (75-80%)
- Based on the number of a/c in the current sector
- An ATCO can handle max. ca. 18-20 a/c simultaneously
- The SV (Supervisor) opens/closes sectors depending on the volume of the traffic



BOTTLENECKS OF THE CURRENT SYSTEM

The traffic grows very fast

- Air traffic services are getting closer and closer to a traffic volume which cannot be handled anymore by the current structure
- Total automation isn't a solution according to current studies
 - Human-based activity
 - Human vs. Machine decisions (pros and cons)

We need to find a solution which helps the ATCOs reduce their workload



FIRST STEPS OF THE RESEARCH

Personal interview with the air traffic controllers

- Information about the processes, procedures, complexity
 Survey for the ACC ATCOs
- General data
- Complexity factors
- Sector configuration change



THE SURVEY

International usability was important Google Forms platform Complexity-based approach 16 complexity factors defined

Number of climbing aircraft (EC)									
	1	2	3	4	5				
Slight influence	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Large influence			
Number of descending aircraft (EC)									
	1	2	3	4	5				
Slight influence	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Large influence			
Relative directions of aircraft (convergence/divergence of traffic) (EC)									
	1	2	3	4	5				
Slight influence	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Large influence			



Average value and deviaton for the complexity factors of both EC and PC Correlation calculus for the relation of the ATCO's age and the complexity factors

	EC	EC	PC	PC
Komplexitási tényezők:	átlag	szórás	átlag	szórás
Emelkedő légijárművek száma	4,54	0,50	3,54	0,91
Süllyedő légijárművek száma	4,54	0,58	3,58	0,91
Légijárművek egymáshoz				
képesti helyzete (forgalom				
diverzitása, <u>konverzitása</u>)	3,04	0,98	3,29	0,89
Szektorok közötti koordináció	2,17	1,03	4,38	0,70
Légijárművek sebességének				
különhözősége	2.75	0.83	3 00	0.91

	EC-re	PC-re	
	vonatkozó	vonatkozó	
	korrelációs	korrelációs	
Komplexitási tényezők:	együttható	együttható	
Emelkedő légijárművek száma	-0,09	0,08	
Süllyedő légijárművek száma	-0,01	0,15	
Légijárművek egymáshoz			
képesti helyzete (forgalom			
diverzitása, <u>konverzitása</u>)	0,22	0,12	
Szektorok közötti koordináció	0,42	-0,57	
Légijárművek sebességének			
különbözősége	0,41	0,25	



RESULTS II.

- Provided apportunity to give free text remarks
- The main bottleneck is the coordination between the sectors according to the given answers
- Meteorology and the different a/c movements are also significant factors



POSSIBILITIES FOR DEVELOPMENT

- For helping ATCOs coordinate with the adjacent sectors
- Decision support tool
- Suggestion for the optimal Flight Level at the sector boundaries when hand-off/takeover
 - Decision-making model
 - Artificial Intelligence-based tool structure
 - Based on the hungarian practice



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!